#### astro8405

## An Introduction to the

## Cosmic Microwave Background

Kaustuv Basu

kbasu@uni-bonn.de



eCampus | Lernplattform der Universität Bonn



#### astro8405: The Cosmic Microwave Background


Aktionen 🕶

This course intends to give you a modern and up-to-date introduction to the science and experimental techniques relating to the Cosmic Microwave Background. No prior knowledge of cosmology is necessary, your prerequisite are a basic understanding of electrodynamics and thermal physics and some familiarity with Python programming.

### Lecture 14:

## Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect (Part II)


### Thermal SZ (tSZ) effect summary



$$y \equiv \int \frac{k_{\rm B} T_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e} c^2} \, \mathrm{d}\tau_{\rm e} = \int \frac{k_{\rm B} T_{\rm e}}{m_{\rm e} c^2} \, n_{\rm e} \sigma_{\rm T} \mathrm{d}l = \frac{\sigma_{\rm T}}{m_{\rm e} c^2} \int P_{\rm e} \, \mathrm{d}l.$$

$$\Delta I_{\nu} \approx I_{0} y \frac{x^{4} e^{x}}{(e^{x} - 1)^{2}} \left( x \frac{e^{x} + 1}{e^{x} - 1} - 4 \right) \equiv I_{0} y g(x) \qquad \frac{\Delta T_{\text{CMB}}}{T_{\text{CMB}}} \approx y \left( x \frac{e^{x} + 1}{e^{x} - 1} - 4 \right) = y f(x).$$


### Kinematic SZ (kSZ) effect summary



$$\frac{\Delta T_{\text{CMB}}}{T_{\text{CMB}}} \approx -\int \sigma_{\text{T}} n_{\text{e}} \stackrel{\wedge}{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{p}} \, \mathrm{d}l = -\int \stackrel{\wedge}{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{p}} \, \mathrm{d}\tau_{\text{e}} \equiv -y_{\text{kSZ}}$$

$$\Delta I_{\nu} \approx -I_{0} \frac{x^{4} \mathrm{e}^{x}}{(\mathrm{e}^{x} - 1)^{2}} y_{\text{kSZ}}$$

### The first four SZE discovered galaxy clusters




5

Source: Staniszewski et al. 2009


14: SZ effect part 2 An Introduction to the CMB

### Then, in six years (Planck, SPT, ACT)




By 2015, the number of galaxy clusters detected by the SZ effect was over 2000. Planck 2015 catalog listed roughly 1600 clusters, and the SPT and ACT experiments reported over 500 clusters each from a smaller fraction of the sky.

Nearly 700 confirmed galaxy clusters from the SPT 2500 deg<sup>2</sup> field (Bleem et al. 2015)




An Introduction to the CMB

### State-of-the-art: ACT (2020) results



An Introduction to the CMB

### SZ cluster counts in the near future




Credit: CMB-S4 collaboration / S. Raghunathan See CMB-S4 Science Book, First Edition (arXiv:1610.02743)

### Redshift-independence of the SZ effect

Sine the SZ effect is a scattering of the background CMB photons, the effect of the cosmic expansion is the same on both the scattered and unscattered photons. In other words, the signal is independent of redshift!

Hence if you can resolve the cluster, the total flux density within the telescope beam remains constant no matter the distance of the cluster, provided the intrinsic property of the cluster remains the same.




$$\Delta S_{\nu} \ = \ \int \Delta I_{\nu} \ d\Omega \ \propto \ \frac{\int n_e T_e \ dV}{D_{\rm A}^2} \ \propto \ \frac{f_{\rm gas} M_{\rm tot} T_e}{D_{\rm A}^2}$$


Carlstrom, Holder, and Reese (2002)

### "Beam dilution" of the SZ effect


If the instrument beam is larger than the cluster, then the flux difference generated by the SZ effect gets weaker, as the cluster is more distant and hence smaller in angular diameter (think of a surface with uniform brightness getting smaller). This causes a redshift-dependent selection for some SZ experiments, like Planck (upper figure on right).

For Ground-based experiments with ~1 arcmin beam size, like SPT, this is perfectly matched with typical cluster size, and clusters at higher redshifts do not get significantly smaller. In fact, the mass threshold goes down slightly, as the clusters are actually denser and hotter (hence more SZ-bright) at high-z.





### The Planck clusters from 2015



The ~1200 clusters from the Planck catalog in 2015 (PSZ-2).

These might very well represent *all* the massive clusters in the universe (barring those behind our Galaxy).

An Introduction to the CMB 14: SZ effect part 2

П

# Counting clusters for cosmology: Halo mass function


Mass function describes number of DM halos mass M per

unit comoving volume

\* changing cosmological parameters affects:

- ▶ shape of MF at z=0
- evolution of MF with redshift

Obtain cosmological constraints by counting n(M) for clusters at different z





Fedeli et al, (2008, A&A, 486)

# Counting clusters for cosmology: Halo mass function


Computed from the Tinker et al. (2008) mass function, standard  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology

From Allen, Evrard, Mantz (2011)






### Structure growth & cluster count




Example showing the role of galaxy clusters in tracing the cosmic evolution, in particular dark matter and dark energy contents.

### Planck cluster examples



Bottom panel: composite images of the optical (DSS, white), X-ray (ROSAT, pink) and SZ signal (Planck, blue).

### The Intra-Cluster Medium (ICM)




- Most of the observable mass (baryons) in galaxy clusters is in the form of hot intracluster plasma
- Temperature up to T  $\sim 10^8$  K  $\sim 10$  keV, electron density  $n_e \sim 10^{-3}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>
- Mostly H, He, but with traces of heavy elements (O, Fe, ..)
- Mainly emits photons in X-rays (bremsstrahlung), but also radio (synchrotron) and gamma rays (p-p collision)
- Causes the Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect by inverse Compton scattering of the background CMB photons


### Joint SZ/X-ray modelling

X-ray  $\sim n_e^2 \Lambda(T_e)$ SZE  $\sim n_e T_e$ 

SZ effect (thermal) and the X-ray emission probes the same intracluster plasma, but with different  $n_e$  and  $T_e$  dependencies. Thus, combining SZ and X-ray data, information on these two important quantities can be obtained.



17



### Joint SZ/X-ray modelling of H<sub>0</sub>


One can solve for the angular diameter distance by eliminating  $n_{e0}$  (noting that  $n_{\rm H} = n_e \mu_e / \mu_{\rm H}$ , where  $n_j \equiv \rho / \mu_j m_p$  for species j), yielding

$$\begin{split} D_{\rm A} &= \frac{(\Delta T_0)^2}{S_{\rm X0}} \left( \frac{m_e c^2}{k_{\rm B} T_{e0}} \right)^2 \frac{\Lambda_{e{\rm H}0} \mu_e / \mu_{\rm H}}{4 \pi^{3/2} f_{(x,T_e)}^2 T_{\rm CMB}^2 \sigma_{\rm T}^2 (1+z)^4} \frac{1}{\theta_c} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{\Gamma(3\beta/2)}{\Gamma(3\beta/2-1/2)} \right]^2 \frac{\Gamma(3\beta-1/2)}{\Gamma(3\beta)} \,, \end{split}$$


where  $\Gamma(x)$  is the gamma function. Similarly, one can eliminate  $D_A$  instead and solve for the central density  $n_{e0}$ .

From Reese et al. (2002)  $\Omega_{M} = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$   $\Omega_{M} = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.0$   $\Omega_{M} = 1.0, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.0$ 

Since both X-ray and (thermal) SZ signal depends on the electron density, one can eliminate the  $n_e$  (and assume a value of  $T_e$ ), which gives the angular diameter distance of a galaxy cluster as a ratio of the form (SZ)<sup>2</sup>/X, from which the value of H<sub>0</sub> can be derived (see expression on left).




### SZ measurements of the WHIM



Planck measurement of the Shapley supercluster. Upper panel: tSZ measurement. Lower panel: composite image of optical (white), X-ray (pink), and tSZ (blue).

Most of the baryons in the local universe are in a warm-hot phase in the cosmic filaments, not bound to galaxy clusters. This phase is called WHIM (Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium). Measuring it is key to understanding the cosmic evolution of baryons.

Since SZ signal depends linearly on density, it is a perfect tool to observe ionized plasma from low density environments, including WHIM.




Stacking of the SZ signal as halo pairs (galaxy pairs) and subtracting the halo component to get to the WHIm residual. From Tanimura et al. (2019).

### Measurement of ICM temperature from rSZ


Current best measurement with *Planck* data, stacking ~700 clusters

$$k_{\rm B}\langle T_{\rm SZ}\rangle = 4.4^{+2.1}_{-2.0}\,{\rm keV}$$



Erler, Basu et al. (2018)

### Measurement in a single, massive cluster RXC J1347





 $\langle T_{\rm sz} \rangle_{2500} = 12.3 \,\text{keV}$  with a 68% credible interval  $5.8 < \langle T_{\rm sz} \rangle_{2500} < 20.5 \,\text{keV}$ 

20


### kSZ effect measurements

Below is a measurement of the "pairwise kSZ effect" using data from ACT and DESI optical galaxy survey. The very first measurement of kSZ effect was done by this method by the ACT collaboration in 2012, by averaging  $\Delta T$  from roughly 5000 galaxy pairs (Hand et al. 2012).







Credit: E. Vavagiakis / ACT collaboration



On left is the first measurement of the kSZ effect from internal gas motions in a galaxy cluster (MACS J0717.5; Mroczkowski+ 2012, Adam+ 2017)

### ntSZ effect measurement forecast






Constraining the average magnetic field in galaxy clusters with current and upcoming CMB surveys

#### Vyoma Muralidhara<sup>a,b</sup> and Kaustuv Basu,<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Max-Planck-Instutut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild Str. 1, 85741 Garching, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, Universität Bonn, D-53121 Bonn, Germany



### Questions?



# Feel free to email me or ask questions in our eCampus Forum